CHAPTER IV
FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

What has been explained in chapter two is about translation and translation shifts; now in this chapter it will be described about the analysis of translation shifts that were found in the data source and how do they occur. The data were taken from an English novel entitled *The twilight Saga: New Moon* written by Stephani Meyer and the Indonesian version of the novel entitled *Dua Cinta*. It was translated by Dwi Chresnayani. The analysis of translation shifts that were found in the novels are described in the following sub chapters.

4.1 The Kinds of Translation Shifts

4.1.1 Level Shifts

By shift of level we mean that a source language item at one linguistic level has a target language translation equivalent at a different level. The shift is usually about one level of structure in the source language and translated with lexicon or word in the target language. Two types of level shift were found in the data source, they were Past Continuous marker (*was/were* + *-ing*) shifted into *sedang* and Past Perfect marker (*had* + *Past Participle marker*) shifted into *sudah/telah*. 
1. **Past Continuous Marker (was/were + -ing) Shifted into sedang**

   Based on the data source of this paper, the shifts of level which show Past Continuous marker (was/were + -ing) shifted into *sedang* in the translation were found. For a clear analysis, let us see the examples below. Examples:

   1. SL: He and Saphira were in the courtyard of the castle, away from the men who were laboring to clear it—pilling stones and bodies alike into carts—and from the people streaming in and out of the damaged building, many of whom had been present at Nasuada’s audience with King Halfpaw and were now leaving to attend to other duties. (Stephani: 43).

      TL: Ia dan saphira berada di pekarangan kastel, menjauh dari para pejuang yang sedang bekerja membersihkan tempat itu—menumpuk bebatuan dan mayat-mayat ke gerobak—dan dari orang-orang yang mengalir keluar-masuk bangunan yang rusak, banyak diantara mereka yang tadi hadir ketika Nasuada bicara dengan Raja Halfpaw dan sekarang pergi untuk melakukan tugas lain. (Chresnayani: 55).

   2. SL: He was in the middle of wringing out the last item, and his freshly poured tea was waiting for him next to Katrin, when someone shouted their names from across the busy way. (Stephani: 66).

      TL: Iasedang memeras kain terakhir, dan tehnya yang baru dituang menunggunya di sebelah Katrina, ketika seseorang meneriakkan nama mereka dari seberang jalan yang ramai. (Chresnayani: 74).
3. SL: With a faint sense of surprise, he realized that Nasuada **was calling** his name. (Stephani: 274).

TL: Dengan sedikit terkejut, Roran tersadar Nasuada **sedang memanggil-manggil** namanya. (Chresnayani: 233).

4. SL: Eragon locked eyes with Arya and found within them a combination of determination and defensiveness, as if she **was challenging** him to attempt to pry open her secrets, but she was also afraid of what would happen if he did. (Stephani: 314).

TL: Eragon berpandangan dengan Arya, dan Eragon melihat kombinasi tekad serta sorot defensive dalam matanya, seakan-akan elf itu **sedang menantangnya** untuk mencoba memeras rahasia dari dalam dirinya, tapi juga takut tentang apa yang bakal terjadi jika Eragon mampu melakukannya. (Chresnayani: 264).

5. SL: The werecat, he was puzzled to see, **was watching** him with ice-blue eyes. (Stephani: 509).

TL: Dengan bingung Eragon melihat si werecat **sedang memperhatikan-nya** dengan mata biru sedingin es. (Chresnayani: 417).

It can be stated that level shift occurs in example number (1). The structure of *were + -ing* in the source language is translated into lexis *sedang* in the target language. In this case, the level shift happens from grammar to lexis. This shift happens because in English, to express a progress or ongoing activity in the past (*Past Continuous Tense*) is expressed by *was/were + -ing. The men who were*
laboring to clear it means the activity of laboring by the subject the men was in progress or ongoing. Meanwhile in Indonesian there is not specific form to express such activity. In Indonesian, such activity is expressed by word such as sedang. So, it is true that between the source language and target language there is level shift. In this example, the quality of the translation is good because both the source language and the target language carry the same meaning.

The level shift also occurs in the example number (2). The structure of was + -ing in the source language is translated into lexis sedang in the target language. In this example, the level shift happens from grammar to lexis. This shift of level occurs because in English, was/were + -ing is used to express a progress or ongoing activity in the past (Past Continuous Tense). He was in the middle of wringing means the activity of wringing by the subject he was in progress or ongoing. In Indonesian, there is not specific form to express such activity. In Indonesian, it is expressed by word such as sedang. So, it is right that between the source language and target language there is level shift. In this example, because both the source language and the target language carry the same meaning, it can be said that the quality of the translation is pretty good.

There is a level shift in the example number (3). The structure of was + -ing in the source language is translated into lexis sedang in the target language. So, it means the level shift happens from grammar to lexis. This shift occurs because in English, was/were + -ing can be used to express a progress or ongoing activity in the past (past continuous tense). Nasuada was calling his name means the activity of
calling by the subject Nasuada was in progress or ongoing. There is not specific form to express such activity in Indonesian. In Indonesian, it is expressed by word such as sedang. So, it is right that between the source language and target language there is level shift. In this example, the quality of the translation is pretty good because both the source language and the target language carry the same meaning.

Seen from the example number (4), there is a level shift. The structure of was + -ing in the source language is translated into lexis sedang in the target language. It can be said that the level shift happens from grammar to lexis. It happens because in English, to express a progress or ongoing activity in the past (Past Continuous Tense) is expressed by was/were + -ing. She was challenging him means the activity of challenging by the subject she was in progress or ongoing. Meanwhile in Indonesian there is not specific form to express such activity. In Indonesian, such activity is expressed by word such as sedang. So, it is true that between the source language and target language there is level shift. In this example, the quality of the translation is good because the source language and the target language carry the same meaning.

A shift of level occurs in the example number (5). The structure of was+ -ing in the source language is translated into lexis sedang in the target language. It means the level shift happens from grammar to lexis. This shift happens because in English, to express a progress or ongoing activity in the past (Past Continuous Tense) is expressed by was/were + -ing. The werecat, he was puzzled to see, was watching him with ice-blue eyes means the activity of watching by the subject werecat was in progress or ongoing. Meanwhile in Indonesian there is not specific form to express
such activity. In Indonesian, it is expressed by word such as *sedang*. So, it is true that between the source language and target language there is level shift. In this example, the quality of the translation is good because both the source language and the target language carry the same meaning.

2. **Past Perfect Marker (had + Past Participle marker) shifted into *sudah/telah***

   Based on the data source of this paper, the shifts of level which show Past Perfect marker (*had + Past Participle marker*) shifted into *sudah/telah* in the translation were found. Let us see the examples below.

   Examples:

   1. SL: Though Eragon **had eaten** and then rested for perhaps an hour, his weariness had not entirely abated. (Stephani: 51).

      TL: Meski Eragon **sudah** makan dan beristirahat selama kira-kira satu jam, keletihannya belum benar-benar sirna. (Chresnayani: 61).

   2. SL: Someone **had shot** at him with a crossbow from the roof of a building. (Stephani: 57).

      TL: Seseorang **telah** memanahnya dengan busur silang dari atap sebuah bangunan. (Chresnayani: 67).

   3. SL: He **had traded** his armor of earlier for a thick red tunic and a robe trimmed with white ermine. (Stephani: 71).

      TL: Sebelumnya ia **telah** berganti pakaian dari baju zirah ke tunik merah dan jubah bertepi bulu cerpelai putih. (Chresnayani: 77).
4. SL: Night had fallen, and the only light in the lavish bedchamber came from the two candles on the desk and the small fire glowing on the hearth by the empty four-poster bed. (Stephani: 270).

TL: Malam sudah turun, dan satu-satunya cahaya dalam kamar tidur mewah itu dating dari dua batang lilin pada meja dan api kecil yang berpendar dari perapian dekat ranjang yang bertiang empat yang kosong. (Chresnayani: 230).

5. SL: “If ever I get the chance, I’ll kill you for this,” Tharos had said. (Stephani: 274).


There is a level shift in example number (1). The structure of had + Past Participle marker in the source language is translated into lexis sudah in the target language. The level shift occurs from grammar to lexis. It happens because in English, to express Past Perfect Pense or the activity that happened before a particular time in the past, the pattern of had + Past Participle marker is used. Eragon had eaten means the activity of eating by the subject Eragon occurred in the past up to the present time. Meanwhile in Indonesian there is not specific form to express such activity. In Indonesian, such activity is expressed by word such as sudah. So, between the source language and target language there is a level shift. The quality of the translation in this example is good because both the source language and the target language carry the same meaning.
Seen from the translation shift, example number (2) shows a level shift. The structure of *had + Past Participle marker* in the source language is translated into lexis *telah* in the target language. So, the shift of level happens from grammar to lexis. It occurs because in English, to express *Past Perfect Tense* or the activity that happened before a particular time in the past, it uses the pattern of *had + Past Participle marker*. *Someone had shot at him* shows that the activity of *shooting* by the subject *someone* occurred in the past up to the present time. In Indonesian, there is not specific form to express such activity. In Indonesian, it is expressed by word such as *telah*. So, it is right that between the source language and target language there is a level shift. Seen from the translation, both the source language and the target language carry the same meaning. So, it can be said that the quality of the translation is pretty good.

Shift of level also occurs in the example (3), the structure of *had + Past Participle marker* in the source language is translated into lexis *telah* in the target language. This level shift also happens from grammar to lexis. The reason is similar; it occurs because in English, the pattern of *had + Past Participle marker* can be used to express the *Past Perfect Tense* or the activity that happened before a particular time in the past. *He had traded his armor* means the activity of *trading* by the subject *he* occurred in the past up to the present time. Meanwhile Indonesian does not have specific form to express such activity. It is expressed by word such as *telah* in Indonesian. So, it is true that between the source language and target language there
is level shift. The quality of the translation in this example is also good because the source language and the target language carry the same meaning.

Seeing the example number (4), it can be stated that there is a shift of level. The structure of **had + Past Participle marker** in the source language is translated into lexis *sudah* in the target language. It means the shift of level happens from grammar to lexis. It occurs because in English, to express **Past Perfect Tense** or the activity that happened before a particular time in the past, it uses the pattern of **had + Past Participle marker**. *Night had fallen* means the activity of *falling* by the subject *night* occurred in the past up to the present time. In Indonesian, there is not specific form to express such activity. In Indonesian, this activity is expressed by word such as *sudah*. So, it is correct that between the source language and target language there is a level shift. In this example, the source language and the target language carry the same meaning. So, it can be stated that the quality of the translation is good.

A shift of level occurs in the example number (5). The structure of **had + Past Participle marker** in the source language is translated into lexis *telah* in the target language. It means the level shift occurs from grammar to lexis. This shift happens because in English, to express **Past Perfect Tense** or the activity that happened before a particular time in the past, it uses the pattern of **had + Past Participle marker**. *Tharos had said* means the activity *saying* by the subject *tharos* occurred in the past up to the present time. In Indonesian, there is not specific form to express such activity. In Indonesian, it is expressed by word such as *telah*. So, it is true that between the source language and target language there is level shift. In this example,
the quality of the translation is good because both the source language and the target language carry the same meaning.

4.1.2 Category Shifts

Beside the level shift, we also have category shifts. Category shifts are departures from formal correspondence in translation. There are four types of category shifts; they are structure shift, class shift, unit shift and intra-system shift. Usually, they are found in the translation results from English to Indonesian.

1. **Structure Shifts**

Structure shifts are the shifts that happen when the source language structure and target language structure are different. These shifts usually occur in the level of phrase, clause, and sentence. For a clearer discussion, let us see the following analysis.

a. **Structure Shifts in Phrase Level**

Based on the data source of this paper, the shifts of structure that occur in the level of phrase were found. For a clear analysis, let us see the examples below. Examples:

1. **SL:** *The bluesword* flashed bright and iridescent, stark against the wall of black clouds building in the west. (Stephani: 1).

**TL:** *Pedang biruitu* berkilat terang dan memancarkan cahaya berwarna-

TL: *Pedang biruitu* berkilat terang dan memancarkan cahaya berwarna-
warni, tampak mencolok dilatar belakangi awan hitam yang bergulung-gulung di barat. (Chresnayani: 21).

2. SL: The blue sword flashed bright and iridescent, stark against the wall of blackclouds building in the west. (Stephani: 1).

TL: Pedang biru itu berkilat terang dan memancarkan cahaya berwarna-warni, tampak mencolok dilatar belakangi awan hitam yang bergulung-gulung di barat. (Chresnayani 21).

3. SL: Afaintgoldenglow filled the tent as he lifted the lid of the chest. (Stephani: 52).


4. SL: Thedimgraylight from the cloudy sky fell upon him as Arya pushed aside the entrance flap. (Stephani: 53).

TL: Cahayakelabusuram dari langit berawan menerpa Eragon ketika aryanya menyibakkan pintu tenda sampai terbuka. (Chresnayani: 63).

5. SL: Ourtrap has sprung shut around him. (Stephani: 82).
The examples above show us that the target language has different phrase structure from the target language. In example number (1), there is a structure shift. The noun phrase structure *the blue sword* which is composed by pre-modifier *the*, pre-modifier *blue* and head *sword* is translated into *pedang biru itu* in Indonesian. The phrase *pedang biru itu* is formed by the head *pedang*, post-modifier *biru* and post-modifier *itu*. Considering the different structure between the phrase of the source language and the phrase of the target language, it can be stated that there is structure shift in phrase level. It happens because the basic structure of the source language noun phrase is the inverse of the target language noun phrase structure. The structure of English noun phrase above is *pre modifier - pre modifier - head* meanwhile Indonesian noun phrase structure is *head - post modifier - post modifier*. Based on its translation, we can conclude that the translation from the phrase *the blue sword* into *pedang biru itu* is good because there is not lost meaning on the result.

Similar to the first example, in example number (2) there is also a structure shift. The noun phrase structure *black clouds* in the source language consists of pre modifier *black* and head *clouds*. It is translated into a different phrase structure that is *awan hitam*. The noun phrase in the target language consists of head *awan* and post modifier *hitam*. Considering the different structure between the phrase of the source language and the phrase of the target language, it can be concluded that there is structure shift in phrase level. It also happens because the basic noun phrase structure
of the source language is the inverse of the target language noun phrase structure. In this case, the structure of English noun phrase above is pre modifier - head meanwhile Indonesian noun phrase structure is head - post modifier. Based on its translation, we can state that the translation from the phrase black clouds into awan hitam is pretty good because there is not lost meaning on the result.

In the example number (3), there is a structure shift. The noun phrase structure a faint golden glow in the source language is composed by pre modifier a, pre modifier faint, pre modifier golden and head glow. The target language has a different phrase structure, that is pendar cahaya keemasan samar. It is composed by the pre modifier pendar, head cahaya, post modifier keemasan and post modifier samar. It can be stated that there is structure shift in phrase level because the basic structure between the phrase of the source language and the phrase of the target language is different. The inversion between the source and the target language noun phrase still causes this shift to occur. As we know that the structure of English noun phrase above is pre modifier – pre modifier – pre modifier – head meanwhile Indonesian noun phrase structure is pre modifier – head – post modifier – post modifier. Based on its translation, we can conclude that the translation from the phrase a faint golden glow into pendar cahaya keemasan samar is good because there is not lost meaning on the result.

A shift of structure also happens in the fourth example. The noun phrase structure the dim gray light in the source language consists of pre modifier the, pre modifier dim, pre modifier gray and head light. The target language has a different
phrase structure, which is *cahaya kelabu suram*. The head is filled by *cahaya* and the post modifiers are filled by *kelabu* and *suram*. It can be concluded that there is structure shift in phrase level because the structure between the phrase of the source language and the phrase of the target language is different. This happens because the noun basic phrase structure of the source language is the inverse of the target language noun phrase structure. English noun phrase above is *pre modifier - pre modifier - pre modifier - head* meanwhile Indonesian noun phrase structure is *head - post modifier - post modifier*. Based on its translation, we can make a statement that the translation from the phrase *the dim gray light* into *cahaya kelabu suram* is adequate because there is not lost meaning on the result.

Example number (5) shows us that there is a level shift. The noun phrase structure *our trap* in the source language consists of pre modifier *our* and head *trap*. It is translated into *jebakan kita*, which has a different phrase structure. The phrase in the target language consists of the head *jebakan* and post modifier *kita*. Considering the different structure between the phrase of the source language and the phrase of the target language, it can be stated that there is structure shift in phrase level. This happens because the noun phrase structure of the source language above is the inverse of the target language noun phrase structure. English noun phrase is *pre modifier - head* meanwhile Indonesian noun phrase structure is *head - post modifier*. Seeing this translation, we can state that the translation from the phrase *our trap* into *jebakan kita* is adequate because there is not lost meaning on the result.

2. **Class Shifts**
Class shift means a shift of a class in the source language into a different class in the target language. We can see the class shifts that were found in the data source in the following examples.

a. **Adverb to Adjective**

A shift of class may occur from adverb to adjective in the translation of source language into target language. The following examples will show us the preview of this shift.

1. SL: “Kill him!” the robed man ordered, sounding more frightened than *lordly*. (Stephani, 2011: 23).

2. SL: Arya initiated the first attack, and within the span of a second, Eragon found himself standing hunched over at an awkward angle, her blade pressed against the left side of his neck, tugging *painfully* at his skin. (Stephani: 299).
   TL: Arya memulai serangan pertama, dan dalam waktu sedetik saja, Eragon mendapati dirinya membungkuk dalam sudut canggung, bilah pedang Arya menempel pada sisi kiri lehernya, menarik kulitnya sampai *pedih*. (Chresnayani: 253).

In the first example, it is a class shift which occurs here. The word *lordly* in the source language is a member of adverbs and it is translated into *berwibawa* which is an adjective in the target language. Based on that, we can see that the class shift
happens from an adverb in the source language into adjective in the target language. This shift mostly happens because the translator does not want to lose the context meaning of the source target and then decides to make the class shift. Based on its translation, we can state that the translation is good because the source language and the target language carry the same meaning.

There is a class shift in example number (2). The word *painfully* in the source language belongs to an adverb and it is translated into *pedih* which is an adjective in the target language. So, the class shift happens between adverb in the source language and adjective in the target language. Like the previous example, this shift mostly happens because the translator does not want to lose the context meaning of the source target and then decides to make the class shift. Based on its translation, we can conclude that the translation is pretty good because both languages carry the same meaning.

b. **Noun to Verb**

A shift of class also occurs from noun to verb in the translation of source language into target language. The following examples will show us the preview of this shift.

1. SL: The gold thread in their tunics glittered as they stirred with *surprise*.  
   (Stephani: 23).

   TL: Benang emas pada tunik mereka berkilauan ketika mereka bergerak *terkejut*. (Chresnayani: 38).
2. SL: While Blodhgarm labored over Roran, Eragon picked up Brisingr, then stood guard with Arya at the entrance in case any soldiers were so foolhardy as to launch an attack. (Stephani: 27).

TL: Sementara Bloghgarm mengurus Roran, Eragon memungut Brisingr, kemudian berdiri berjaga-jaga bersama Arya pada pintu masuk kalau-kalau ada prajurit yang cukup dungu untuk menyerang mereka. (Chresnayani: 42).

In the first example, it is the class shift that happens here. The word surprise in the source language is a member of nouns and it is translated into terkejut which is a verb in the target language. Based on that, we can see that the class shift happens from a noun in the source language into verb in the target language. This shift mostly happens because the translator does not want to lose the context meaning of the source target and then decides to make the class shift. Seeing the translation, we can state that the translation is good because the source language and the target language carry the same meaning.

Similar to previous example, class shift also occurs in the example number (2). The word attack in the source language belongs to a noun and it is translated into menyerang which belongs to a verb in the target language. The class shift happens between noun in the source language and verb in the target language. As we can see before, this shift mostly happens because the translator does not want to lose the context meaning of the source target and then decides to make the class shift. Based
on its translation, it can be stated that the translation is good because the same meaning is carried by the source language and the target language.

3. Unit Shifts

Unit shift is a change of rank, departures from formal correspondence in the translation equivalent of a unit at one rank in the source language is a unit at a different rank in the target language. Unit shift as a change of rank may happen from word to phrase, phrase to word or phrase to clause. Based on the data source, the analysis was focused on the unit shift that occurs from word to phrase.

a. Word to Phrase

A shift of unit may occur in the translation from a source language word into a target language phrase. For a clearer explanation, let us see the examples below.

1. SL: The rider tugged on the reins and angled his horse toward Saphira, who began to rear onto her hind legs, in preparation for delivering a terrible, killing blow with her right front paw. (Stephani: 8).

   TL: Si penunggang menarik tali kekang dan mengarahkan kudanya ke Saphira, yang mulai berdiri di kaki belakangnya, bersiap untuk melakukan hantaman mematikan menggunakan kaki kanan depannya. (Chresnayani: 26).

2. SL: The dragon Saphira roared, and the soldiers before her quailed. (Stephani: 1).
TL: Sang naga Saphira meraung, dan para prajurit yang berada di hadapannya mengerut. (Chresnayani: 21).

3. SL: On the other side of the mound lay a wide courtyard. (Stephani: 1).
   TL: Di sisi lain timbunan terdapat pekarangan dalam yang luas. (Chresnayani: 21)

4. SL: With a cry, Eragon leaped off the rubble toward the soldiers. (Stephani: 1).
   TL: Sambil berteriak, Eragon melompat dari gundukan puing ke arah para prajurit. (Chresnayani: 21).

5. SL: Galbatorix is mad and therefore unpredictable. (Stephani: 49).
   TL: Galbatorix gila, maka dia tidak bisa ditebak. (Chresnayani: 60)

In example (1), the kind of shift that occurs in this example is unit shift. The word **reins** in the source language has a different rank with its translation in the source language. It is translated into **tali kekang** which is ranked as a noun phrase in the source language. So, the departure happens from a word to a phrase. This happens because the word **reins** in the source language cannot be represented by a single word in the source language. So, the nearest meaning of the word is the noun phrase **tali kekang**. Based on its translation, we can state that the translation from the word **reins** into phrase **tali kekang** is good because there is no lost meaning in the result although the word in the source language is represented as a phrase in the target language.

In example number (2), the kind of shift that occurs here is unit shift. The word **soldiers** in the source language has a different rank with its translation in the
source language. It is translated into *para prajurit* which is ranked as a noun phrase in the source language. So, the departure happens from a word to a noun phrase. This happens because the word *soldiers* in the source language cannot be represented by a single word in the source language. So, the nearest meaning of the word is noun phrase *para prajurit*. Based on its translation, we can make a statement which says the translation from the word *soldiers* into phrase *para prajurit* is good because there is no lost meaning in the result although the word in the source language is represented as a phrase in the target language.

The kind of shift that occurs in example number (3) is unit shift. The word *courtyard* in the source language has a different rank with its translation in the source language. It is translated into *pekarangan dalam* which is ranked as a (noun) phrase in the source language. So, the departure happens from a word to a noun phrase. This happens because the word *courtyard* in the source language cannot be represented by a single word in the source language. So, the nearest meaning of the word is noun phrase *pekarangan dalam*. About the quality of the translation, we can state that the translation from the word *courtyard* into phrase *pekarangan dalam* is good because there is not lost meaning in the result although the word in the source language is represented as a phrase in the target language.

Example number (4) shows us an example of unit shift. The word *rubble* in the source language has a different rank with its translation in the source language. It is translated into *gundukan puing* which is ranked as a (noun) phrase in the source language. So, the departure happens from a word to a noun phrase. This happens
because the word *rubble* in the source language cannot be represented by a single word in the source language. So, the nearest meaning of the word is noun phrase *gundukan puing*. Based on its translation, we can conclude that the translation from the word *rubble* into phrase *gundukan puing* is good because there is not lost meaning in the result although the word in the source language is represented as a phrase in the target language.

In the last example, it is a unit shift that occurs here. The word *unpredictable* in the source language has a different rank with its translation in the source language. It is translated into *tidak bisa ditebak* which is ranked as an adjective phrase in the source language. So, the departure happens from a word to a phrase. Like the previous example, this happens because the word *unpredictable* in the source language cannot be represented by a single word in the source language. So, the nearest meaning of that word is the adjective phrase *tidak bisa ditebak*. Based on its translation, we can conclude that the translation from the word *unpredictable* into phrase *tidak bisa ditebak* is pretty good because there is no lost meaning in the result although the word in the source language is represented as a phrase in the target language.

**b. Phrase to Word**

Beside the word to phrase shift, a shift of unit may occur in the translation from a source language phrase into a target language word too. For a clearer explanation, let us see the examples below.
1. SL: The sound was stabbing. (Stephani: 16).
   TL: Suara itu menusuk. (Chresnayani: 33).

2. SL: Blodhgarm and his fellow spellcasters can conjure up a facsimile of Eragon, as they did before (Stephani: 354).
   TL: Blodhgarm dan rekan-rekan perapal mantranya bisa memunculkan tiruan Eragon, seperti yang pernah mereka lakukan. (Chresnayani: 24).

3. SL: Once the arrows ceased, Eragon transferred Brisingr to his left hand, picked up one of the soldiers’ spears, and heaved it at the archers forty feet above. (Stephani: 6).
   TL: Begitu hujan anak panah berhenti, Eragon memindahkan Brisingr ke tangan kirinya, memungut salah satu tombak prajurit, dan melontarkannya ke para pemanah sekitar dua belas meter di atas. (Chresnayani: 25).

4. SL: She threw back her spiky head and roared her triumph, drowning out the clamor of the city with ferocity of her cry. (Stephani: 7).
   TL: Ia melontarkan kepalanya yang bertanduk ke arah atas dan meraungkan kemenangan, menenggelamkan keributan dalam kota dengan keganasan jeritannya. (Chresnayani: 26).

5. SL: Many were hunched over, as if expecting a blow; others gaped at the front of the damaged keep. (Stephani: 19).
   TL: Banyak yang merunduk, seolah-olah menyangka bakal ada ledakan; yang lain-lain terganga menatap bagian depan benteng. (Chresnayani: 35).
In example (1), the kind of shift that occurs in that example is unit shift. The noun phrase *was stabbing* in the source language has a different rank with its translation in the source language. It is translated into *menusuk* which is ranked as a word in the source language. So, the departure happens from a phrase to a word. It happens because the phrase *was stabbing* in the source language can be represented using a single word *menusuk* in the source language. Based on its translation, we can state that the translation from the phrase *was stabbing* into word *menusuk* is good because there is not lost meaning in the result although the phrase in the source language is represented as a single word in the target language.

In the second example, it is a unit shift that occurs here. The verb phrase *conjure up* in the source language has a different rank with its translation in the source language. It is translated into *memunculkan* which is ranked as a word in the source language. So, the departure happens from a phrase to a word. Like the previous example, this shift happens because the phrase *conjure up* in the source language can be represented using a single word *memunculkan* in the source language. If we focus on its translation, we can state that the translation from the phrase *conjure up* into word *memunculkan* is good because there is not lost meaning in the result, although the phrase in the source language is represented as a single word in the target language.

In example number (3), the kind of shift that occurs in this example is unit shift. The verb phrase *picked up* in the source language has a different rank with its translation in the source language. It is translated into *memungut* which is ranked as a
word in the source language. So, the departure happens from a phrase to a word. It happens because the phrase *pick up* in the source language can be represented using a single word *memungut* in the source language. About the translation, we can state that the translation from the phrase *picked up* into word *memungut* is good because there is not lost meaning in the result, and even the phrase in the source language is represented as a single word in the target language.

The kind of shift that occurs in example number (4) is unit shift. The verb phrase *threw back* in the source language has a different rank with its translation in the source language. It is translated into *melontarkan* which is ranked as a word in the source language. So, the departure happens from a phrase to a word. It happens because a single word *melontarkan* in the source language can represent the phrase *threw back* in the source language. Like the previous example, we can state that the translation from the phrase *threw back* into word *melontarkan* is good because there is no lost meaning in the result although the phrase in the source language is represented as a single word in the target language.

The example number (5) shows us an example of unit shift. The verb phrase *hunched over* in the source language has a different rank with its translation in the source language. It is translated into *merunduk* which is ranked as a word in the source language. So, the departure happens from a phrase to a word. It happens because a single word *merunduk* in the source language can represent the phrase *hunched over* in the source language. Based on its translation, we can conclude that the translation from the phrase *hunched over* into word *merunduk* is good because
there is no lost meaning in the result although the phrase in the source language is actually represented as a single word in the target language.

4. Intra-system Shifts

Intra-system shift is the shift that occurs internally, within a system: that is, for those cases where source language and target language possess systems which approximately correspond formally as to their constitution, but when translation involves selection of a non-corresponding term in the target language system (Catford, 1965: 80).

Examples:

1. SL: The men shuffled backward, although they kept their spears and pikes trained on the ragged hole Saphira had torn in the castle's outer wall. (Stephani: 2).

   TL: Lelaki-lelaki itu mundur, meski mereka membiarkan tombak dan seligi terpancang pada lubang kasar yang dibuat Saphira pada tembok luar kastel. (Chresnayani: 21).

2. SL: A directionless blue light illuminated the space. (Stephani: 25).

   TL: Cahaya biru menerangi ruangan. (Chresnayani: 39).

3. SL: Grim’s chest swelled, and his expression became, if possible, even more self-satisfied. (Stephani: 39).

   TL: Dada Grim menggembung, dan ekspresinya, jika mungkin, menjadi lebih pongah lagi. (Chresnayani: 52).
4. SL: He motioned toward the entrance of the tent. (Stephani: 55).

   TL: Ia menunjuk pintu tenda. (Chresnayani: 65).

5. SL: He wants us to bring you to him. (Stephani: 492).

   TL: Dia ingin kita membawamu kepadanya. (Chresnayani: 403).

As we can see, intra-system shift occurs in the first example, the words *spears* and *pikes* in the source language are categorized as plural nouns. But, they are translated into words *tombak* and *seligi* which are categorized as singular nouns in the target language. It happens because English language constantly requires a clear form of written or spoken plural nouns, but Indonesian language does not do that. In Indonesian, the use of singular noun is generally used to refer something in common or plural nouns. Based on its translation, the translations of the words *spears* and *pikes* into *tombak* and *seligi* are good because both source language text and target language text have or carry the same meaning.

In example number (2), it is an intra-system shift that occurs here. The word *illuminated* in the source language is in a past form. But, it is translated into the word *menerangi* which does not have past form in the target language. It happens because English has many forms of verb used in tenses, but Indonesian does not have them. Because both source language text and target language text have or carry the same meaning, it can be said that the translation of the word *illuminated* into *menerangi* is good.

Seen from the translation shifts, example number (3) shows intra-system shift. The possessive marker *'s* in the source language is untranslated in the target language.
It happens because English language constantly requires a certain marker of possessive, but Indonesian language does not do that. In Indonesian, the structure of \( dada + \) Grim is enough to show that \( dada \) belongs to Grim. Seen from the translation, it can be stated that the translation between the source language and the target language is good because both of them have or carry the same meaning.

Intra-system shift also occurs in the example (4). The preposition \( of \) in the source language is used to show possessive; in this case, the entrance belongs to the tent. But, it is omitted in the target language. It happens because Indonesian language does not certainly require prepositions in showing possessive like English does. In Indonesian, the structure of \( pintu + \) tenda is enough to show that \( pintu \) belongs to tenda. Based on the translation, it can be said that the translation between the source language and the target language is good because they carry the same meaning.

In example number (5), there is an intra-system shift. The word \( he \) in the source language shows that the gender of this pronoun is male. But, it is translated into the word \( dia \) which does not show its gender in the target language. It happens because the third person \( dia \) in Indonesian language may represent both genders, male and female. The translation between the source language and the target language is good because both of them carry the same meaning.