CHAPTER IV

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

This chapter presents the results of the research and is divided into two sections. The first section presents and describes the examined data from Medea drama script. The data found are related to the positive politeness strategies and maxim violating in the script and presented in terms of frequency and percentage. The second section consists of a discussion of the data found in Medea drama script. This section provides a deep explanation on the positive politeness strategies and maxim violating found in Medea drama script. There are some examples for the analysis to make the explanations clear.

4.1 Research Findings

This section consists of two parts. The first part describes the findings for the types of positive politeness strategies which are used by the characters in Medea drama script and the second part describes the maxim violation when the characters are expressing positive politeness strategies.

4.1.1 Positive Politeness Strategies in Medea Drama Script

Figure 1 below provides the descriptions related to the use of positive politeness strategies in Medea drama script. There are 78 data found by the researcher.
**Figure 1:** The Data Findings of Positive Politeness Strategies Applied by the Characters in *Medea* Drama Script.

As it is drawn in Figure 1, there are 78 occurrences of positive politeness strategies in *Medea* drama script. The finding clearly shows that the characters
apply most of strategies of positive politeness. In the highest rank, there are two strategies that appear more than 10 times. Those are the strategies of exaggerating (interest, approval, sympathy with H) and giving or asking for reasons. Those are follow by the strategy of including both S and H in the activity in the second rank with 9 data. The third rank is the strategies of noticing, attending to H (her/his interests, wants, needs, goods, etc.), and avoiding disagreement which occur in 7 data. Meanwhile, the last rank is covered by the strategies which have the occurrence less than 6 times, those are the strategies of intensifying, interest to the speaker to the hearer in the speaker’s contribution; presupposing, raising, asserting common ground; asserting or presupposing knowledge of and concerning for hearer’s wants; being optimistic that the hearer wants what the speaker’s wants. The explanations as well as examples for each strategy are presented as follows.

4.1.1.1 Noticing to hearer’s (her/his interests, wants, needs, goods, etc.)

It is clearly seen in figure 1 phenomenon of positive politeness strategies in Medea drama script of noticing, attending to H (her/his interests, wants, needs, goods, etc.) among 78 places of occurrence, this strategy appears 9 times. The characters in Medea use this strategy by noticing to the hearers’ interests, wants, needs, goods, etc. This strategy can be expressed in the form of compliment as seen in the example below.

Data 1: Noticing to the hearer’s good (p.20;2)

Nurse : You do your best to keep them by themselves, as long as she's in this dark mood; don't let them go to her.
MEDEA : Oh, oh! What misery, what wretchedness I What shall I do? If only I were dead
It can be seen in the dialogue above that the nurse uses strategy of noticing, attending to H goods. As the owner of the house, Medea wants her son to go out from their house. After Medea comes closer, the nurse said “You do your best.” This statement indicates that she uses this strategy in the form of compliment. She appreciates Medea who makes the children to go out from her house. Thus, the nurse save Medea’s positive face.

Another example of strategy of noticing, attending to H goods is as follows.

**Data 2 : Noticing to the hearer’s good** (p. 23;5)

CHORUS : I heard her sobbing and wailing, Shouting shrill, pitiful accusations Against her husband who has betrayed her. She invokes Themis, daughter of Zeus, Who witnessed those promises which drew her Across from Asia to Hellas, setting sail at night, Threading the salt strait, Key and barrier to the Pontic Sea.

MEDEA : She is not shaken with weeping, but cool and self-possessed, like you are Chorus

This conversation happens between Chorus and Medea. They talk about the condition of Medea in this time. Medea is sad because her husband has betrayed. Then she makes a statement of strategy of noticing, attending to H (her/his interests, wants, needs, goods, etc.) by saying “She is not shaken with weeping, but cool and self-possessed. Like you are Chorus”. Thus, Medea has saved Chorus’s positive face.

**4.1.1.2 Exaggerating (interest, approval, sympathy with H)**

The most-often appearing phenomenon of positive politeness strategies in Medea drama script belongs to exaggerating (interest, approval, sympathy with H) strategy appears in 20 out of 78 times of occurrence. An example of exaggerating (interest, approval, sympathy with H) strategy is presented in the following datum.
Data 3 : Exaggerating sympathy with H (p. 39;1)

AEGEUS : Worse still -extraordinary I Why, who has banished you?
MEDEA : Creon has banished me from Corinth.
AEGEUS : And does Jason accept this? How disgraceful.

The conversation above shows that Aegeus employs positive politeness strategy, namely exaggerating sympathy with H. Medea comes back with her emotion. She tells about banishment that happened to her. Then, Aegeus makes something that seems really important than the reality. However, he exaggerates his statement using words "And does Jason accept this? How disgraceful." By saying this, Aegeus saved Medea’s positive face.

In addition, another example of using in-group identity markers in speech strategy is shown in the following datum.

Data 4 : Exaggerating interest with H (p. 38;4)

AEGEUS : You know the King of Troezen, Pittheus, son of Pelops?
MEDEA : Yes, a most pious man.
AEGEUS : I want to ask his advice about this oracle.
MEDEA : He is an expert in all matters.

In this conversation Aegeus and Medea talk about King of Troezen, Pittheus. Aegeus asks to the Medea because he wants to ask his advice about the oracle. Then Medea answers him by exaggerating her statement “He is an expert in all matters.” This word also uses positive politeness strategy, namely exaggerating interest with H to save Aegeus positive face.

The researcher exemplifies this strategy by using another datum as follows.

Data 6 : Exaggerating sympathy with H (p. 38;6)

MEDEA : It is so. Once he loved me; now I am disowned.
AEGEUS : Was he tired of you? Or did he fall in love else where?
MEDEA : Oh, passionately. He's not a man his friends can trust.
AEGEUS : Well, It is like what I said. he's a bad lot, let him go.

This conversation is still about Aegeus and Medea in Medea house in the middle of Corinth. Medea tells about her husband who has been betrayed her to the Aegeus. At this time Aegeus uses positive politeness strategy of exaggerating sympathy with H to saved Medea’s positive face by saying “Well, It is like what I said. he's a bad lot, let him go”. It is clearly seen that Aegeus officially exaggerates his statement when he talks about Medea’s husband.

4.1.1.3 Intensifying interest to the hearer in the speaker’s contribution

The strategy of intensifying interest to the hearer in the speaker’s contribution is the third strategy of positive politeness. It appears 3 times from the whole speeches. Based on the finding, the occurrences of such phenomenon can be seen from the datum below.

Data 7 (p. 19;2)

TUTOR : Poor fool-though she's my mistress and I shouldn't say it- She had better save her tears. You know, she has not heard the worst.
NURSE : The worst? What now? Don’t keep it from me. What has happened?

The tutor and the nurse are discussing about the worst in their life. The tutor tries to tell about his worst that happens to the nurse. The worst itself about the crazy planing of Medea. Medea tells to the tutor that she wants to kill her own children. Medea thinks to kill her own children is the best way for her revenge with Jason. He intensifies the hearer’s interests into the topic being discussed by saying “You know.” After that, he explains about the things to the nurse. By involving the
hearer into the discussion, the tutor had satisfied the nurse’s positive face. He shows friendliness, closeness and solidarity to the nurse.

The data below are also examples of strategy intensifying interest to the hearer in the speaker’s contribution.

**Data 8 (p. 43;3)**

JASON: You sent for me: I have come. Although you hate me, I Am ready to listen. You have some new request; what is it?

MEDEA: Jason, I ask you to forgive the things I said, are you remember?

JASON: What was you said?

MEDEA: You must bear with my violent temper; you and I Share many memories of love. I have been taking Myself to task. You are a fool, I’ve told myself, You're mad, when people try to plan things for the best, To be resentful, and pick quarrels with the King And with your husband; what he's doing will help us all.

The conversation between Medea and Jason, when Jason tries to talk with Medea about the things that Medea wants to save their children from emotional mother that has been betrayed. Medea uses positive politeness strategy of intensifying interest to the hearer in the speaker’s contribution by saying “Jason, I ask you to forgive the things I said, are you remember?”. After that, she explains about the things to Jason. By involving the hearer into the discussion, Medea has satisfied Jason’s positive face. She shows her closeness and solidarity to Jason.

**4.1.1.4 Using in-group identity markers in speech**

The phenomenon of using in-group identity markers in speech strategy in Medea drama script appears 5 times out of 78 times of occurrences. An example of the strategy of using in-group identity markers in speech is presented as follow.
**Data 9 (p. 18;4)**

TUTOR : What are you doing, standing out here by the door, All alone, talking to yourself, harping on trouble? Eh? What does Medea say to being left alone?

NURSE : **Old friend.** tutor of Jason's sons, an honest slave. Suffers in her own heart the blow that strikes her mistress. It was too much, I couldn't bear it; I had to come.

The tutor suddenly comes in the living room. Thus, he looks the nurse standing out in the door. The tutor asks the nurse, why she is standing alone and talking to herself. Then, the nurse answers by calling the tutor as "old friend" instead of his real name of the tutor. She applies the strategy of using in-groups identity markers to show intimacy, closeness, solidarity to his friend. Therefore, she saves the tutor positive face.

In addition, another example of using in-group identity markers in speech strategy is shown in the following datum.

**Data 10 (p. 52;5)**

MEDEA : Your news is excellent. I count you from today **my friend** and benefactor.

MESSENGER: What? Are you sane, or raving mad? When you've committed This hideous crime against the royal house, you're glad I At hearing of it? Do you not tremble at such things?

MEDEA : I could make suitable reply to that, **my friend.** But take your time now; tell me, how did they die? You'll give Me double pleasure if their death was horrible.

The participants in this conversation are Medea and the messenger. In respond to the messenger news, Medea tries to make him relax. She called him as "**my friend**, instead of his real name. Therefore, she is save messenger’s positive
face and makes him feel good and relaxed. She employs a strategy of positive politeness, namely using in-group identity markers in speech.

4.1.1.5 Avoiding disagreement

The strategy of avoiding disagreement has third rank that appears in 7 out of 78 times of occurrences. The examples and explanation for this phenomenon are presented as follows.

Data 11 (p. 19;4)

NURSE : The worst? What now? Don’t keep it from me. What has happened?

TUTOR : Why, nothing’s happened. I’m sorry I don’t said anything.

The nurse calls the tutor to come to her house and talk more about the problem of Medea sons. The nurse asks to the tutor about the worst that happened to him. Therefore, according to the tutor it should not be explain to the nurse because the worst itself about bad passion of Medea that wants to kill her own children. Medea thinks to kill her own children is the best way for her revenge with Jason. However, in expressing his disagreement, he decides to apply the strategy of avoiding disagreement by using hedge words “I’m sorry I don’t said anything ...” By employing this strategy, he had saved the nurse’s positive face.

The datum below is also one of the examples of avoiding disagreement strategy.

Data 12 (p. 9;17)

MEDEA : This is a bad time?
Medea’s son : No. Well, we got some friends over but go ahead.
This dialogue happens when Medea’s son talks with his mother while he had some guests at his home. His mother asks him whether it is a bad time to talk or not. Medea’s son answers that it is not a bad time. He employs a strategy of positive politeness, namely avoiding disagreement strategy. By answering “No”, he has saved his mother’s positive face. He respected her although in fact it was not a good time to talk him because he was having a cordial meeting with his friends.

4.1.1.6 Presupposing, raising, asserting common ground

The seventh strategy of positive politeness is presupposing, raising, asserting common ground strategy. Among the 78 occurrences, it happens 4 times. One research datum that portrays an occurrence of this strategy is as follows.

Data 13 (p. 19:3)

NURSE : Do you realize how crude that is?
Tutor : Listen. We're all decent people. How do we get all carried away, losing our tempers?

In this conversation, the tutor is arguing with the nurse. He says that the nurse certainly perked up since she vomited. Then, the nurse thought that the tutor’s statement is very crude. Therefore, the tutor tried to make the situation better by using one of positive politeness strategies named asserting common ground strategy. It is indicated by the use of pronoun “we” in the tutor’s opinion. He said that all four of them are decent people and they should not lose their tempers. He shows this strategy to save the hearer’s positive face.
4.1.1.7 Asserting or presupposing knowledge of and concern for hearer’s want

The phenomenon of being optimistic that the hearer wants what the speaker wants strategy is found 3 times from 78 times of occurrences. The data are presented below.

Data 14 (p. 20;3)

NURSE: We should not let them go to her. I've watched her watching them, her eye like a wild bull's. There's something that she means to do; and I know this

MEDEA: Oh, oh! What misery, what wretchedness I What shall I do? If only i were dead

Another positive politeness strategy is asserting or presupposing knowledge of and concerns for hearer’s want. In this conversation, the speaker tries to fulfill what the hearer wants by emphasizing that the nurse knows the personal information about what will happen to the hearer, by saying “We should not let them go to her. I've watched her watching them, her eye like a wild bull's. There's something that she means to do; and I know this.”

4.1.1.8 Offering & promising

The strategy of offering & promising has the same occurrence with the strategies of avoiding disagreement. It appears 7 times from the whole speeches. The following examples give clear description about the phenomenon of offering & promising strategy.

Data 15 (p. 42;1)

CREON: I'm no tyrant by nature. My soft heart has often Betrayed me; and I know it's foolish of me now; Yet none the less, Medea, you shall have what you ask.

MEDEA: I have in mind so many paths of death for them. I don't know which to choose. Should I set fire to the house. And
burn the bridal chamber? Or creep up to their bed And drive a sharp knife through their guts? There is one fear

This conversation happens when Creon and Madea talk about Medea’s life. Creon tries to make Medea think twice for her decision of coming back to her house. This conversation clearly shows that Medea and Creon are cooperated. Medea stresses her cooperation by offering the hearer by saying “Should I...“. Creon’s positive face has been appreciated by Medea.

4.1.1.9 Including both S and H in the activity

Based on the findings, the occurrences of this strategy appear 9 times out of the total 78. The examples and explanations for this phenomenon are presented as follows.

Data 16 (p. 4:30)

(4:30) Jason : What we want is for the boys to patch it up, make sure nothing like this ever happens again.
Medea: Let's set up a meeting.

Jason expects that Medea would be able to solve their problems. The problem is about the threat’s of Medea that wants to kill their children. Jason tries to avoid her crazy planning for kill her children and Jason come and talk with Medea. Therefore, Medea suggests to set up a meeting. She uses the strategy of including both S and H in the activity to save the hearers’ negative face. She involves the hearers into the discussion by using pronoun “us”. She wants to show that the goal is not only for her but also for the hearers.

The researcher exemplifies this strategy by using another datum as follows.
Data 17 (p. 19;4)

TUTOR : Why, nothing's happened. I'm sorry I said anything.
NURSE : Look we're both slaves together: don't keep me in the dark.

In the provided finding, when the nurse talks with the tutor about the worst of medea’s and the worst itself about the crazy planing of Medea. Medea tells to the tutor that she wants to kill her own children. Medea thinks to kill her own children is the best way for her revenge with Jason. The nurse used pronoun “we”. It makes the request more polite because it indicates the cooperation between the nurse and the tutor that the goals are not only for the speaker but also for both of them.

4.1.1.10 Giving or asking for reasons

Besides the strategy of exaggerating (interest, approval, sympathy with H) the strategy of giving or asking for reasons also in the first rank from the data compared to the others. This strategy appears 14 times. The datum of this strategy is presented below.

Data 19 (p. 45;2)

JASON : I am pleased, Medea, What’s this? Why these floods of tears? Why are you pale? Did you not like what I was saying? Why do you turn away?
MEDEA : It is nothing. I was thinking About these children.

Jason finally meet up with Medea in her house to see the children. Jason is shock when he looks at the condition of his son. Then, he asks Medea to cancel her plan to kill their children. He decides to say “Why these floods of tears? Why are you pale? Did you not like what I was saying? Why do you turn away?” instead of
saying directly “You should cancel your plan for me” to make her request more reasonable for Medea.

Data 20 (p. 39;4)

**MEDEA** : Now confirm your promise with an oath, And all is well between us.

**AEGEUS** : Why? Do you not trust me? What troubles you?

It is clearly seen that Aegeus uses this strategy of giving or asking some reason by saying “Why? Do you not trust me? What troubles you?” Aegeus makes his statement more reasonable for Medea, Aegeus does not say directly with “You must trust me, what troubles you?” but he adds the word why for asking the reason of interlocutor.

4.1.1.11 Giving gifts to H (goods, sympathy, understanding, cooperation)

Finally, the last strategy of positive politeness is giving gifts to H (goods, sympathy, understanding, cooperation) strategy. This strategy is in the second rank of the low-frequent data finding in Medea drama script. It means that there is only 1 data out of 78 occurrences. A datum that portrays an occurrence of this function is as follows.

Data 21 : Giving gifts cooperation to H (p. 40;1)

**MEDEA** : But if your promise is verbal, and not Sworn to the gods, perhaps you will make friends with them, and agree to do what they demand. I’ve no power on my side, while they have wealth and all the resources of a royal house.

**AEGEUS** : Your forethought is remarkable; but since you, I wish it I’ve no objection.

The conversation between Medea and Aegeus happens in the living room of Medea`s house. Medea tells that the promise of Aegeus can not be trust. Then,
Medea gives her cooperation about her promise. She responds Aegeus’s statement by saying "if your promise is verbal, and not Sworn to the gods, perhaps you will make friends with them, and agree to do what they demand." Thus, Medea is save Aegeus’s positive face by using the strategy of giving gifts cooperation to the hearer.

4.1.2 Violation Maxim Happened when the Characters are Applying Positive Politeness

The phenomena of maxim violation can be found from the data findings of positive politeness strategies utterance by the characters in Medea drama script. Figure 2 below shows the phenomena of maxim violation occurred in the data related to the positive politeness strategies in Medea drama script.

![Data 2: Types of Violation Maxim](image)

**Figure 2**: The Data Findings of Maxim Violation Applied by the Characters in Medea Drama Script.
As it is drawn in figure 2, the first rank is violation of manner maxim with 35% data out of the 100% data. Giving more information make the characters violate the maxim of manner, while giving less information make the characters violate the maxim of quantity. In other words, out of the total 100%, it is followed by violation of quality maxim, violation of quantity maxim, and violation relation maxim which have the occurrence less than 6 times. Meanwhile, the phenomenon of violation of relation maxim is less in the data of this research with 15%. It is because the characters prefer to violate other maxim then violate relation maxim.

The following table and diagram will explain more detail:

**Table 1: The Data Findings of Maxim Violation when the Characters are Expressing Positive Politeness Strategies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive politeness</th>
<th>Violation maxim</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noticing, attending to H</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exaggerating</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensifying interest to the hearer in the speaker’s contribution</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using in-group identity markers in speech</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeking agreement in safe topics</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoiding disagreement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presupposing, raising, asserting common ground</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joking to put the hearer at ease</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asserting or presupposing knowledge</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offering, promising</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being optimistic that the hearer wants what the speaker wants</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Including both S and H in the activity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Giving or asking for reasons | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3
Asserting reciprocal exchange or tt | - | - | - | - | -
Giving gifts to H | - | - | - | - | -

TOTAL | 5 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 18

**Figure 3: The Data Findings of Maxim Violation when the Characters are Expressing Positive Politeness Strategies**

The diagram explains in positive politeness strategies of *noticing, attending to* H it is found 4 forms of violation maxim of; 1 quantity maxim, 1 relation maxim, and 2 manner maxim. Then, in *exaggerating strategy* also found 4 form of violation maxim; 1 quantity maxim, 1 relation maxim, and 2 manner maxim. In *intensifying interest to the hearer in the speaker’s contribution strategy* just found 1 of violation manner maxim. Similar to *using in-group identity markers in speech strategy* also
found only 1 of violation quantity maxim. Next, the researcher finds 4 forms of violation maxim in *avoiding disagreement strategy* there are; 1 quantity maxim, and 3 quality maxim. *Being optimistic that the hearer wants what the speaker wants strategy* found 1 forms of violation quantity maxim. The researcher again find 1 forms violation quality maxim in *strategy of including both S and H in the activity*. The last strategy has found 3 forms violation maxim when expressing positive politeness by the writer in *giving or asking for reasons strategy* there are; 1 of quantity maxim, 1 of relation maxim, and 1 of manner maxim.

4.1.2.1 Violation of Quantity Maxim

The speaker should be as informative as required, they should give neither too less nor too much information to violate the maxim of quantity. The utterances are categorized as violation of maxim of quantity because the utterances do not fulfill the rule of maxim of quantity. As Yule (1996) assumed that those rules of maxims are, make your contribution as informative as is required and do not make your contribution less or more informative than is required.

In *Medea* drama script the phenomenon of violation of quantity maxim in this research gets the second highest rank with the percentage of 30%. This means that there are five utterances that include violating the maxim of quantity. The strategy of positive politeness that violated quantity maxim are noticing, attending to H, exaggerating, using in-group identity markers in speech, . Those utterances disobey the rule of quantity maxim. The result of the data can be seen as follows.
Data 1 (p. 19;5)

TUTOR: Poor fool—though she’s my mistress and I shouldn’t say it—She had better save her tears. She has not heard the worst.

NURSE: The worst? What now? Don’t keep it from me. What has happened?

TUTOR: Why, nothing's happened. I'm sorry I said anything.

The conversation happens between the tutor and the nurse. The tutor feels pity with Medea. The dialogues is occurs in the Medea’s living room in the Corinth. After many years of divorce and she was banished from her husband, finally she comes back in her house with her son. Then the tutor meet the assistant of Medea, that is the nurse. The tutor talks about past Medea and he tells that she is better to save her tears.

The tutor says “Poor fool—though she’s my mistress and I shouldn’t say it—She had better save her tears. She has not heard the worst” And the nurse looks surprised and replied: “The worst? What now? Don’t keep it from me. What has happened?”.

The tutor decide to express positive politeness strategy of avoiding disagreement he wants save the nurse’s positive face by violated two maxims, those are violating maxim of quantity and quality. The violation of maxim of quantity occurs when the tutor answers questions very short and unclear. Then the violation of maxim of quality happens when the tutor says “Why, nothing's happened. I'm sorry I said anything” in order to the nurse knowing what he talks about and it is an answer that is not being sincere.

Data 2 (p. 19;11)

NURSE: But surely Jason won't stand by and let his sons Banished, even if he has a quarrel with their mother.
TUTOR : Old love is ousted by new love. Jason's no friend to this house.
NURSE : Then we're lost, if we must add new trouble to old, before we're rid of what we had already.
TUTOR : But listen: it's no time to tell Medea this. Keep quiet, say nothing about it.

The conversation occurs between the tutor and the nurse. In this situation, the tutor and the nurse wants to tell about the banishment of Medea and her son. Nurse says that Jason won't stand by and let his sons Banished, even if he has a quarrel with their mother. In that case, the tutor and the nurse are confused how to tell about it to Medea. When the nurse is going to tell to Medea, the tutor asks “But listen: it's no time to tell Medea this. Keep quiet, say nothing about it”, because he wants to make them safe.

The tutor’s utterance shows that he violates the maxims of quantity. The utterance is “it's no time to tell Medea this. Keep quiet, say nothing about it”. The tutor is disobey the rule of quantity maxim. In this rule, the speaker says unclear and not to the point. When the nurse asks if she adds new trouble to Medea, the tutor should answers with the words “Yes” or “no”. In this situation, the tutor replies by saying “it's no time to tell Medea, keep quiet, say nothing about it.” Although the answer presented by the tutor that he violate quantity of maxim to expressing strategy of intensifying to the hearer in positive politeness with the word “But listen...”

Data 3 (p. 46;1)

JASON : Why do you grieve so over the children?
MEDEA : I'm their mother. When you just now prayed for them to live long, I wondered Whether it would be so; and grief came over me. But I've said only part of what I had to say; Here is the other thing. Since Creon has resolved To send me out of
Corinth. I fully recognize That for me too this course is best. If I lived here I should become a trouble both to you and him. People believe I bear a grudge against you all. So I must go. But the boys I would like them to be. Brought up in your care. Beg Creon to let them stay.

JASON : I don't know. I can persuade him; and I'll try.

The conversation produced among Medea and Jason. Jason is Medea’s husband. At that time, Jason asks Medea why she grieves so much about their children. When Medea asks Jason for her life in the Corinth and her son with Creon King of Corinth as Jason father’s “I'm their mother. When you just now prayed for them to live long, I wondered Whether it would be so; and grief came over me. But I've said only part of what I had to say; Here is the other thing. Since Creon has resolved To send me out of Corinth, I fully recognize That for me too this course is best. If I lived here I should become a trouble both to you and him. People believe I bear a grudge against you all. So I must go. But the boys I would like them to be. Brought up in your care. Beg Creon to let them stay." Then Jason answered “I don't know. If I can persuade him; but I'll try”.

By the dialogues, Jason’s utterance “I don't know. I can persuade him; and I'll try” contains violation maxim of quantity because Jason adds more information. Jason disobeys one of the rules of maxim of quantity. It makes his contribution is informative than required. Jason should answer I can persuade him; and I'll but he added “I don't know” as an unimportant answer. In this story, Jason is expressing positive politeness strategy namely avoiding disagreement by violating the quantity maxim when he adds more information.
4.1.2.2 Violation of Quality Maxim

The researcher discovers some utterances that contain violation of quality maxim. These utterances are categorized as violation of quality maxim because it does not fulfill the rules of maxim of quality. According to Yule (1996), the violation of maxim of quality is not say what you believe to be false and not say that for which you lack adequate evidence.

The characters violates the maxim of quality is most often done four times (20%). The phenomenon of violation of quality maxim in this research gets the third highest rank. The strategy of positive politeness that violated quantity maxim areAvoiding disagreement and Including both S and H in the activity. Therefore, the researcher describes the violation of quality maxim is asfollows.

Data 4 (p. 39;3)

AEGEUS : Worse still extraordinary I Why, who has banished you?  
MEDEA : Creon has banished me from Corinth.  
AEGEUS : And does Jason Accept this? How disgraceful  
MEDEA : Oh, no! He protests. But he's resolved to bear it bravely. Aegeus, see, I touch your beard as a suppliant, embrace your knees, Imploring you to have pity on my wretchedness. Have pity I am an exile; let me not be friendless. Receive me in Athens; give me a welcome in your house. So may the gods grant you fertility, and bring Your life to a happy close. You have not realized What good luck chance has brought you. I know certain drugs Whose power will put an end to your sterility. I promise you shall beget children.

The conversation happens between Aegeus and Medea. Medea comes to Aegeus in Athen to tell about her banishment. Then Aegeus asks who has banished her and does Jason accept this or not “And does Jason Accept this? How disgraceful”. But Medea answers by saying “Oh, no! He protests. But he's resolved to bear it bravely.”. The utterance of Medea is breaks the rule of quality maxim.
Medea violates the maxim of quality by giving untrue information. She is wrong because she does not give true utterance. She lies that Jason protest, as a fact in middle story tell when Jason not protest to Corinth about her banishment and she tells to Aegeus for ask to save her live. So, Medea expresses positive politeness strategy of avoiding disagreement about Aegeus statement by saying “Oh, no! He protests. But...” to save his positive face by disobeys the rule of violation of quality maxim.

**Data 5 (p. 39;9)**

**MEDEA**: So be it. Now confirm your promise with an oath, And all is well between us.

**AEGEUS**: Why? Do you not trust me? What troubles you?

**MEDEA**: I trust you; but I have enemies

This conversation happens between Medea and Aegeus. The situation at this time is Medea asks Ageus for help their life but, Medea answers with not be honest for believe Aegeus promises. Medea use word “I trust you; but..”

**Data 6 (p. 46;6)**

**AEGEUS**: Tell me what I shall swear to do or not to do.

**MEDEA**: Never yourself to expel me from your territory; And, if my enemies want to take me away, never willingly, while you live, to give me up to them.

**AEGEUS**: I swear by Earth, and by the burning light of the Sun, I And all the gods, to keep the words you have just spoken.

**MEDEA**: I am satisfied.

**AEGEUS**: Then may the gods do to me as to all guilty men.

**MEDEA**: Go now, and joy be with you. Everything is well. I'll reach your city as quickly as I can, when I have carried out my purpose and achieved my wish. And if you break your oath, what then?
The dialogue above is between Aegeus and Medea. When Medea says, "I am satisfied" means she is sure that she is satisfied with Aegeus swear. It defines that she trust Aegeus and makes cooperation with him. But, as a fact Medea says, "Go now, and joy be with you. Everything is well. I'll reach your city as quickly as I can, when I have carried out my purpose and achieved my wish. And if you break your oath, what then?" This Medea’s utterance violates the maxim of quality because she lies that she satisfied with Aegeus swear. It means that she violates the maxim of quality when expressing noticing, attending to H (her/his interests, wants, needs, goods, etc.).

4.1.2.3 Violation of Relation Maxim

The violation of maxim of relation occurs when a speaker gives information relevantly. According Grice (1975), assumed that the speaker tells an ambiguous statement. Moreover, the speaker may also avoid being brief and orderly in delivering message.

The utterance is categorized as violation of relevance maxim because it does not fulfill the rule of maxim of relevance. In reference to the findings, the occurrence of violation of relation maxim is in the lowest position with percentage of 15% and three occurrences. The strategy of positive politeness that violated relation maxim are noticing, attending to hearer, exaggerating, giving or asking for reasons. Here, the utterances show the violation of relevance maxim which is done by the characters.
Data 7 (p. 20;1)

NURSE : All the same, He is guilty: he has betrayed those near and dear to him.

TUTOR : What man's not guilty? It's taken you a long time to learn that everybody loves himself more than his neighbour. These boys are nothing to their father, he's in love.

NURSE : Run into the house, boys. Everything will be all right.

[The children move away a little.]

You do your best to keep them by themselves, as long as she's in this dark mood; don't let them go to her. I've watched her watching them, her eye like a wild bull's. There's something that she means to do; and I know this: She'll not relax her rage till it has found its victim. God grant she strike her enemies and not her friends!

The dialogue above is the conversation between the nurse and the tutor. The conversation tells that the man or Jason is guilty because he has betrayed his wife. When the nurse is playing with the children, The tutor comes and makes conversation about Jason and Medea as parents of the children. The tutor comes close and says to the nurse “What man's not guilty? It's taken you a long time to learn that everybody loves himself more than his neighbour. These boys are nothing to their father: he's in love”. Tutor’s utterance means that he wants to get close interview with the nurse. But the nurse realizes that it will be scary for the children to be there.

Furthermore, the nurse violates maxim of relevance. There is irrelevant communication between the nurse and the tutor. It can be seen in the nurse’s response, “Run into the house, boys. Everything will be all right. You do your best to keep them by themselves, as long as she's in this dark mood; don't let them go to her. I've watched her watching them, her eye like a wild bull's. There's something that she means to do; and I know this: She'll not relax her rage till it has found its
victim. God grant she strike her enemies and not her friends!" , it makes the utterance not relevance with the tutor’s utterance. It means the nurse breaks the rule of maxim of relation when expressing positive politeness strategy of noticing, attending to H (her/his interests, wants, needs, goods, etc) when she uses the word “You do your best to...”. So, the positive face of interlocutor has been saved by the character by violating the maxim.

Data 8 (p. 27;18)

CREON : Go, you poor wretch, take all my troubles with you! Go!
MEDEA : I know what trouble is; I have no need of more.
CREON : In a moment you'll be thrown out neck and crop.
MEDEA : No, no, not that! But, Creon, I have one thing to ask.
CREON : Then why resist? Why will you not get out?

The conversation is built between Creon and Medea. It happens in Corinth house of Creon, when Medea tells anything about her banishment. She explains that she knows what is trouble at the moment. After talking of her trouble she want to give a question for Creon. But Creon also gives a question to Medea that included as violation of relation maxim. It is regarded not relevant because Medea gives a response by saying “No, no, not that! But, Creon, I have one thing to ask” Creon does not reply relevantly of Medea’s words. So, he does not make sense of what Medea’s want. There is irrelevant communication. Then, it can be prove that when the characters use kinds of positive politeness strategy such as in this example namely giving or asking for reasons the characters also violate the maxim.

4.1.2.4 Violation of Manner Maxim

According to Mey(2009) the people’s contribution must be clear, orderly, and avoid ambiguity. The utterances are categorized as violation of manner maxim
because the utterance does not fulfill the rule of maxim of manner. Here, the utterance of speakers can be said as the violation of manner maxim, is clear information which can be understood.

So, it can build a more effective conversation. As a speaker, we have to give clear information in order the hearer can reply as good as possible. Finally, violation of manner maxim is on the highest position since it appears in seven data out of 21 data. It indicates that the percentage is 35%. Positive politeness strategies that violates the maxim are happens in noticing, attending to H, exaggerating, intensifying interest to the hearer in the speaker’s contribution, giving or asking for reasons strategy. An example of violation of manner maxims are below.

**Data 9 (p. 43,2)**

JASON : You sent for me: I have come. Although you hate me, I Am ready to listen. You have some new request; what is it?

MEDEA : **Jason, I ask you to forgive the things I said.** You must bear with my violent temper; you and I Share many memories of love. I have been taking Myself to task. •You are a fool,' I've told myself, 'You're mad, when people try to plan things for the best, To be resentful, and pick quarrels with the King And with your husband; what he's doing will help us all.

As show in the conversation above that is built between Jason and Medea. It happens in Medea’s house. Jason comes back to Corinth and talks with Medea. Jason ask to Medea about her request but Medea violates the manner maxim because she becomes not briefly give information by saying “**Jason, I ask you to forgive the things I said. You must bear with my violent temper; you and I Share many memories of love. I have been taking Myself to task. •You are a fool,' I've told myself, 'You're mad, when people try to plan things for the best, To be resentful,**
and pick quarrels with the King And with your husband; what he's doing will help us all”. Therefore, Medea should answer Jason question about her request is Jason must forgive her and become a good father for their children not by giving much information like she says.

4.2 Discussion

In this occasion, the researcher tries to discuss this present study with previous study by explaining the finding of two researches. There are two point which can be drawn.

The first point is from the finding of the first research question that is about the types of positive politeness strategies applied by the character. As mentioned in the findings, there are 78 types of positive politeness found in Medea drama script. Indanadewi (2011). She pointed her study on Positive Politeness Strategies used by Medea. Her study aimed to find out the types of politeness strategies produced by Medea as a main character. The result shows that Medea as the main character produced the highest number of positive politeness in her utterances but she found only in once strategy of exaggerate interest / approval / sympathy with the hearer, there are 15 utterances of this type of positive politeness strategy.

This present study finds new types of positive politeness strategies that uses by character in this drama script. The types that found in drama script are more than one types. There are notice attend to hearer's wants, exaggerate interest / approval / sympathy, intensify interest, use in-group identity markers, avoid disagreement, presuppose / assert common ground, assert knowledge of hearer's want, offer & promise, give (or ask for) reasons, assume / assert reciprocity, include
speaker and hearer in the activity etc. Those types do not exist in the research of Indana dewi (2011).

The next point which need to be discussed is from the second research question. The second finding has a relation with the study of cooperative principle. As mentioned in the background of study, there is different result shown by previous studies in the case of cooperative principle. The result from the study by Jeihan Jade (2014) shows that cooperative principle that can express positive politeness strategy is maxim violation. Her study shows no violation of quality maxim. The result shows that in expressing positive politeness the character disobey the rules of maxim. The violation happens are violation of quantity maxim, violation of relation maxim, and violation of manner maxim.

The findings of this present study show different result. As in the finding of the second research question about violation maxim happens in expressing positive politeness, it shows that all of types violation maxim occurs when the character expresses positive politeness strategies. The main character violated maxim of quality which she gives too short or much contribution. She also gives uninformative information. Furthermore, when she violated maxim of quality, they not give the truth information, means they told lie and denied the truth of information and also make irony for the hearer. In analysis, violation the maxim of relation happens when the main characters answered with unsuitable answer. The last violation maxim happens is violation maxim of manner. The main characters violated maxim of manner when the main characters gave an ambiguity statement. The researcher also find the reasons why the character violates the maxims. There
are hiding the truth, satisfying the hearer, building one’s belief, and convincing the hearer to save the face of the hearer.

This present study adds new results in the cooperative principle of violation maxim and in the field of positive politeness strategies. In the same side with the previous studies which have a result that violation maxim are appears when the characters expressing positive politeness strategies. It is as Cutting statement in Jeihan (2014) that cooperative principle sometimes conflict with the politeness principle. If the speakers want to express positive politeness, they may violate cooperative maxims. This study strengthens the result of previous study which all types of positive politeness and violation maxim are occurs in the character’s life.